

1 **SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL**  
 2 **KONECKY LLP**  
 3 Todd M. Schneider (SBN 158253)  
 4 Jason H. Kim (SBN 220279)  
 5 2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400  
 6 Emeryville, California 94608  
 7 Telephone: (415) 421-7100  
 8 Facsimile: (415) 421-7105  
 9 E-mail: tschneider@schneiderwallace.com  
 10 jkim@schneiderwallace.com

**THE WAND LAW FIRM, P.C.**  
 Aubry Wand (SBN 281207)  
 400 Corporate Pointe, Suite 300  
 Culver City, California 90230  
 Telephone: (310) 590-4503  
 Facsimile: (310) 590-4596  
 E-mail: awand@wandlawfirm.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Putative Class*

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 11 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12  
 13 **KIMBERLY BANKS and CAROL**  
 14 **CANTWELL**, on behalf of themselves  
 and all others similarly situated,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 **R.C. BIGELOW, INC.**, a corporation;  
 18 and **DOES 1 through 10**, inclusive,

19 Defendants.

CASE NO.: 2:20-cv-06208-DDP  
 (RAOx)

**FIRST AMENDED CLASS  
 ACTION COMPLAINT**

1. Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code Section 17533.7
2. Violation of California Consumer Legal Remedies Act
3. Violation of California False Advertising Law
4. Violation of California Unfair Competition Law
5. Breach of California Express Warranty
6. Breach of California Implied Warranty
7. Intentional Misrepresentation
8. Negligent Misrepresentation
9. Unjust Enrichment and Common Law Restitution

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

1 Plaintiffs Kimberly Banks and Carol Cantwell (“Plaintiffs”), on behalf of  
2 themselves and all others similarly situated, bring this class action against Defendant  
3 R.C. Bigelow, Inc. and Does 1 through 10 (“Bigelow” or “Defendant”), predicated  
4 on Bigelow’s false and deceptive advertising of its tea products. Plaintiffs make the  
5 following allegations based on the investigation of their counsel and on information  
6 and belief, except as to allegations pertaining to Plaintiffs individually, which are  
7 based on their personal knowledge.

8 **INTRODUCTION**

9 1. During the statute of limitations period, Bigelow has marketed and sold  
10 several varieties of tea products to consumers throughout the State of California and  
11 the United States based on the representation that they are manufactured in the USA.

12 2. Plaintiffs, and other similarly situated consumers, purchased the  
13 Bigelow tea products because they reasonably believed, based on the packaging and  
14 advertising, that these products are American-made. However, the products are  
15 comprised solely of foreign-sourced and processed tea.

16 3. Had Plaintiffs and other consumers known the truth (i.e., that the  
17 Bigelow tea products are not American-made), they would have paid less for them  
18 or they would not have purchased them at all. As a result, Plaintiffs and other  
19 consumers have been deceived and have suffered economic injury.

20 4. Plaintiffs seek relief in this action individually, and on behalf of all  
21 other similarly situated individuals who purchased Bigelow’s falsely and  
22 deceptively labeled tea products during the statute of limitations period, for  
23 violations of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17533.7, California’s Consumer Legal  
24 Remedies Act (“CLRA”), Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, *et seq.*, California’s False  
25 Advertising Law (“FAL”), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500, *et seq.*, California’s  
26 Unfair Competition Law (“UCL”), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, *et seq.*, for  
27 breach of California express and implied warranty, intentional and negligent  
28 misrepresentation, and unjust enrichment.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) because Plaintiffs are citizens of the State of California, Bigelow is a citizen of the State of Connecticut, and the amount in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. This Court also has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2), because this is a class action filed under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, there are thousands of proposed Class members, the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs, and Bigelow is a citizen of a state different from that of Plaintiffs and members of the proposed Classes.

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Bigelow because Bigelow has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, and/or otherwise intentionally avails itself of the markets in the State of California through the promotion, marketing, and sale of its tea products in the State of California, to render the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court permissible under traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)-(d) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.

**THE PARTIES**

8. Plaintiff Banks is a citizen of the United States and the State of California and she currently resides in the City and County of Los Angeles. In or around April 2020, Plaintiff Banks purchased a box of Bigelow Earl Grey Black Tea at a Walmart in Long Beach, California. In purchasing the Bigelow Earl Grey Black Tea product, Plaintiff Banks saw and relied on the statements “MANUFACTURED IN THE USA 100% AMERICAN FAMILY OWNED” and “AMERICA’S CLASSIC” printed on the product’s packaging. Plaintiff Banks’ reasonable belief

1 that the Bigelow Earl Grey Black Tea product she purchased was manufactured in  
2 the USA was an important factor in her decision to purchase it. Plaintiff Banks  
3 would have paid less for the Bigelow Earl Grey Black Tea product, or would not  
4 have purchased it at all, had she known that it was not manufactured in the USA  
5 (i.e., that it was made solely from foreign sourced and processed tea). Therefore,  
6 Plaintiff Banks suffered injury in fact and lost money as a result of Bigelow’s  
7 misleading, false, unfair, and deceptive practices, as described herein.

8         9. Plaintiff Cantwell is a citizen of the United States and the State of  
9 California and she currently resides in the City and County of San Diego. Between  
10 in or around December 2019 to February 2020, Plaintiff Cantwell purchased boxes  
11 of Bigelow Earl Grey Black Tea, Bigelow Vanilla Chai Black Tea, Bigelow  
12 “Constant Comment” Black Tea, and Bigelow Matcha Green Tea at either a Vons,  
13 Target, or Walmart – all located in San Diego, California. In purchasing these  
14 Bigelow tea products, Plaintiff Cantwell saw and relied on the statements  
15 “MANUFACTURED IN THE USA 100% AMERICAN FAMILY OWNED” and  
16 “AMERICA’S CLASSIC” which were uniformly printed on the products’  
17 packaging. Plaintiff Cantwell’s reasonable belief that the Bigelow Earl Grey Black  
18 Tea, Bigelow Vanilla Chai Black Tea, Bigelow “Constant Comment” Black Tea,  
19 and Bigelow Matcha Green Tea products she purchased were manufactured in the  
20 USA was an important factor in her decision to purchase them. Plaintiff Cantwell  
21 would have paid less for the Bigelow Earl Grey Black Tea, Bigelow Vanilla Chai  
22 Black Tea, Bigelow “Constant Comment” Black Tea, and Bigelow Matcha Green  
23 Tea products, or would not have purchased them at all, had she known that they  
24 were not manufactured in the USA (i.e., that they were made solely from foreign  
25 sourced and processed tea). Therefore, Plaintiff Cantwell suffered injury in fact and  
26 lost money as a result of Bigelow’s misleading, false, unfair, and deceptive  
27 practices, as described herein.

28 ///

1           10. Despite being misled by Bigelow, there is still doubt in Plaintiffs’  
2 minds as to the possibility that some of the Bigelow tea products could indeed be  
3 manufactured in the USA, and consequently, Plaintiffs may again purchase a  
4 falsely-advertised manufactured in the USA tea product from Bigelow. For example,  
5 Plaintiffs regularly shop at stores where the Bigelow tea products are sold. Bigelow  
6 sells herbal tea products that bear the “MANUFACTURED IN THE USA 100%  
7 AMERICAN FAMILY OWNED” and “AMERICA’S CLASSIC” representations,  
8 but Plaintiffs do not presently have personal knowledge as to whether the herbal tea  
9 products are manufactured in the USA. Therefore, Plaintiffs may purchase Bigelow  
10 tea products in the future.

11           11. Moreover, Plaintiffs would like to continue to purchase Bigelow tea  
12 products, and specifically, the products they purchased in the past. For example,  
13 Plaintiff Cantwell would like to buy the Bigelow Earl Grey Black Tea, Bigelow  
14 Vanilla Chai Black Tea, Bigelow “Constant Comment” Black Tea, and Bigelow  
15 Matcha Green Tea products again in the future, and Plaintiff Banks would similarly  
16 like to buy the Bigelow Earl Grey Black Tea product at some point down the road.  
17 However, Plaintiffs lack personal knowledge as to Bigelow’s business practices  
18 relating to the place of manufacture of its tea products, and they do not have the  
19 resources to verify whether Bigelow has changed or will change its business  
20 practices to truthfully advertise the origins of its tea products. Therefore, Plaintiffs  
21 currently cannot trust that Bigelow will label and/or advertise its tea products  
22 truthfully, and they are susceptible to reoccurring harm.

23           12. Class members will also continue to purchase Bigelow tea products,  
24 reasonably but incorrectly believing that they are manufactured in the USA, based  
25 on the unlawful conduct alleged herein.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 13. Bigelow is a private corporation that is headquartered in Fairfield,  
2 Connecticut. Bigelow owns approximately 24% of the market share for bagged /  
3 loose-leaf tea in the United States and it produces approximately 1.7 billion tea bags  
4 annually.<sup>1</sup>

5 14. The true names and capacities of DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, are  
6 unknown to Plaintiffs at this time, and Plaintiffs therefore sues such DOE  
7 defendants under fictitious names. Upon information and belief, each Defendant  
8 designated as a DOE is in some manner highly responsible for the occurrences  
9 alleged herein, and Plaintiffs and Class members' injuries and damages, as alleged  
10 herein, were proximately caused by the conduct of such DOE defendants. Plaintiffs  
11 will seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to allege the true names and  
12 capacities of such DOE defendants when ascertained.

13 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

14 **A. The Products at Issue**

15 15. The products at issue in this case consist of all Bigelow tea products  
16 which have packaging that represent they are manufactured in the USA, as  
17 described in further detail in paragraph 30 below. These products include but are not  
18 limited to the following Bigelow teas: Bigelow Earl Grey Black Tea, Bigelow  
19 English Teatime Black Tea, Bigelow Green Tea with Ginger, Bigelow Matcha  
20 Green with Turmeric, Bigelow Green Tea with Pomegranate, Bigelow Green Tea  
21 Decaffeinated, Bigelow "Constant Comment" Black Tea, and Bigelow Vanilla Chai  
22 Black Tea. Hereinafter, these products are referred to as the "Products."

23 16. The Products are sold throughout the United States and the State of  
24 California by third party retailers such as grocery chains and large retail outlets.

25 ///

26

---

27 <sup>1</sup> [https://www.bigelowtea.com/BigelowStoreProd/media/Our-History-Images/Bigelow-Company-Fact-Sheet-2\\_4\\_15.pdf](https://www.bigelowtea.com/BigelowStoreProd/media/Our-History-Images/Bigelow-Company-Fact-Sheet-2_4_15.pdf) (last visited July 13, 2020).  
28

1 **B. The Products Are Not Manufactured in the USA**

2 17. Through its packaging of the Products, Bigelow has intentionally  
3 propagated the misconception that the Products are manufactured in the USA.  
4 However, none of the Products contain any tea that was grown or processed in the  
5 United States.

6 18. The Products are made with black, green, and oolong tea leaves. Each  
7 of these types of tea are derived from the Camellia Sinensis plant. None of the  
8 Camellia Sinensis plant that is used to make the Products is grown or processed in  
9 the United States. Rather, all of the Camellia Sinensis plant that is used to make the  
10 Products is grown by tea plantations, and processed by tea processing plants, located  
11 in places such as Sri Lanka and India.

12 19. The tea that is used to make the Products comprises well over 90% of  
13 the Product. There are additional flavors or spices added to some of the Products,  
14 but they comprise a minimal percentage of the ingredients in the Products. Further,  
15 many of these additional flavors or spices do not come from the United States either.

16 20. Most of the world's tea comes from China, India, Sri Lanka, and  
17 Kenya. According to the Tea Association of the U.S.A., Inc.: "Much of the world's  
18 tea is grown in mountainous areas 3,000 – 7,000 feet above sea level, situated  
19 between the Tropics of Cancer and Capricorn in mineral-rich and acidic soil. Over  
20 30 countries grow tea with leading tea-producing countries being Argentina, China,  
21 India, Indonesia, Japan, Kenya, Malawi, Sri Lanka, Tanzania, Taiwan and  
22 Vietnam."<sup>2</sup> Further, "[i]n 2019, total U.S. black and green tea imports were 260  
23 million pounds."<sup>3</sup>

24 21. The United States grows a miniscule amount of tea. The Charleston  
25 Tea Plantation, which is the only tea plantation in the United States, is located in  
26

---

27 <sup>2</sup> <http://www.teausa.com/14655/tea-fact-sheet> (last visited July 13, 2020).

28 <sup>3</sup> *Id.* (last visited July 13, 2020).

1 Charleston, South Carolina. It consists of only 127 acres. On the website for the  
2 Charleston Tea Plantation, it states:

3 “We’re the only tea plantation in North America\* where you can see  
4 hundreds of thousands of tea bushes stretching out acre after acre for almost  
5 as far as the eye can see.

6 \*There are many hundreds of tea plantations in the world. With the exception  
7 of the Charleston Tea Plantation, they’re all located in Asia, Africa and South  
8 America, many thousands of miles from our shores.”<sup>4</sup>

9 22. At all relevant times herein, Bigelow has owned the Charleston Tea  
10 Plantation, but none of the Products are made with tea grown on the Charleston Tea  
11 Plantation. The website for the Charleston Tea Plantation states:

12 “ARE ANY OF THE BIGELOW TEAS MADE WITH TEA FROM THE  
13 CHARLESTON TEA PLANTATION?”

14 No, Bigelow Teas are not made from any of the tea leaves grown or harvested  
15 here at the Charleston Tea Plantation. Charleston Tea Plantation Teas are the  
16 only teas made from the tea leaves produced by the Camellia Sinensis plants  
17 grown in the fields of the Charleston Tea Plantation.”<sup>5</sup>

18 23. On the website for the Charleston Tea Plantation, it also states: “This is  
19 the home of Charleston Tea Plantation teas - nine very special flavors of tea,  
20 including our original American Classic Tea. This is the only brand of tea in the  
21 world that is made exclusively with 100% tea grown in America.”<sup>6</sup>

22 24. On Bigelow’s website it states: “From the very beginning in 1945, we  
23 sourced handpicked teas from high-elevation gardens where the best teas are grown  
24 and herbs from the world’s finest gardens to create our flavorful blends.”<sup>7</sup>

25 <sup>4</sup> <https://www.charlestoneplantation.com/> (last visited July 13, 2020).

26 <sup>5</sup> <https://www.charlestoneplantation.com/faqs> (last visited July 13, 2020).

27 <sup>6</sup> <https://charlestonegarden.com/our-garden> (last visited July 13, 2020).

28 <sup>7</sup> <https://www.bigelowtea.com/Our-Difference/Selecting-Ingredients> (last visited July 13, 2020).



1           25. On Bigelow’s website there is a video entitled “Fun Facts About Tea”  
2 in which a company representative states:

3           “But really when you’re talking about the Camellia Sinensis, or the tea bush,  
4 it’s green, black, or oolong. And how do you get three different types of teas  
5 from one bush? It’s really the exposure to air. That’s what we call the  
6 processing of tea. It’s very simple. It’s just exposure to air. All of the teas that  
7 we use for our black teas are either from Sri Lanka or India. And they’re from  
8 the highest mountain of gardens. And it’s such a beautiful, beautiful, clean,  
9 clean product. And they take these two leaves and a bud, and in the case of  
10 green, what they do is they will steam it or pan fire it and then they dry it and  
11 chop it. And that’s how you get a beautiful, green tea...So it’s an unfermented  
12 product...In the case of black tea, they take those same two leaves and a bud  
and they chop it so that all the juices in the vein comes out and is exposed to  
air and it’s fermenting...In the case of oolong, it’s semi-fermented. They take  
those same two leaves and a bud, they chop it up, they expose it to air for  
about half the time that they do black tea...”<sup>8</sup>

13           26. In other words, all of the green, black, and oolong teas used to make the  
14 Products are made from the same Camellia Sinensis plant, which is wholly sourced  
15 from, and processed in, countries such as Sri Lanka or India.

16           27. On Bigelow’s website there is a video entitled “Tea Fields of Sri  
17 Lanka” in which a company representative states: “We’ve been selling Sri Lankan  
18 teas for 67 years.” The representative also states that the workers at the Sri Lankan  
19 tea plantation pick “about 16 kilos [of tea] a day to create the most beautiful light,  
20 bright product that is the centerpiece of our black tea. We plan on maintaining a  
21 long-time relationship with Sri Lanka.”<sup>9</sup>

22           28. The tea Bigelow sources from Sri Lanka is also processed there. On  
23 Bigelow’s website there is a video entitled “Tea Factories of Sri Lanka” in which a  
24 company representative states: “After being carefully measured at weight stations

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 <sup>8</sup><https://www.bigelowtea.com/Our-Family-Story/Tea-Time-with-Cindi> (last visited July 13, 2020).  
27 <sup>9</sup> <https://www.bigelowtea.com/Our-Difference/Selecting-Ingredients/Tea-of-Sri-Lanka> (last visited  
28 July 13, 2020).

1 the tea is brought to processing plants like Waltrim.”<sup>10</sup> Waltrim is located in  
2 Lindula, a town in Sri Lanka.

3 29. After describing the detailed tea processing performed at facilities like  
4 the Waltrim facility, the company representative states in the video “Tea Factories  
5 of Sri Lanka”: “And this is the final result of the beautiful clean tea. No stalks, no  
6 fiber, and ready to come to Bigelow Tea.”<sup>11</sup>

7 30. In sum, none of the tea that is used to make the Products is grown or  
8 processed in the United States.

9 **C. The Products’ Packaging is Likely to Deceive Reasonable Consumers**

10 31. The packaging of the Products is false and deceptive and likely to  
11 mislead reasonable consumers, including Plaintiffs and Class members, that the  
12 Products are manufactured in the USA.

13 32. The following representations, taken in isolation and as a whole, create  
14 the impression that the Products are manufactured in the USA:

- 15 a. The packaging of each of the Products states: “AMERICA’S  
16 CLASSIC”;
- 17 b. The packaging of each of the Products states: “MANUFACTURED  
18 IN THE USA 100% AMERICAN FAMILY OWNED”.

19 33. Hereinafter, these representations are collectively referred to as the  
20 “Manufactured in the USA representations”.

21 34. Representative images of some of the Products are set forth below:

22 ///  
23 ///  
24 ///  
25 ///

26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 <sup>10</sup> *Id.* (last visited July 13, 2020).

28 <sup>11</sup> *Id.* (last visited July 13, 2020).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

### Bigelow Earl Grey Black Tea



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

### Bigelow English Teatime Black Tea



AMERICA'S **BIGELOW**® CLASSIC  
FAMILY TEA BLENDERS SINCE 1945

# English Teatime®

## BLACK TEA

A full bodied rich smooth tea  
perfect any time of day

**ALL NATURAL**

**20** TEA BAGS INDIVIDUALLY WRAPPED IN FOIL POUCHES.  
FRESHNESS STAYS IN. AIR AND MOISTURE STAY OUT.

NET WT 1.50 OZ (42 g)



### PROTECTED IN FOIL...

**2 STEPS TO A GREAT CUP**

Be sure to start with fresh cold water and bring to a rolling boil.

 Pour over tea bag, steep for 2 minutes (or whatever time you like), remove bag (but no squeezing please!)

 For Iced Tea by the Glass: Steep a little longer. Pour over ice.

**CAFF-O-METER** † content per serving

				
Coffee 100-120 mg	Black tea 30-60 mg	Green tea 25-50 mg	Decaf tea 1-8 mg	Herbal tea 0 mg

† Represents average caffeine content; individual products may vary.

**...BECAUSE FLAVOR MATTERS**

Our family selects ingredients so carefully that they must protect them in foil to allow you to experience their full FLAVOR · FRESHNESS · AROMA

**Our Promise**

We love to hear what you have to say. If you do call our Consumer Services Crew please reference the code on our box.

MANUFACTURED IN THE USA

# 100%

AMERICAN FAMILY OWNED

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# Bigelow Green Tea with Ginger Tea

AMERICA'S **BIGELOW**® CLASSIC  
FAMILY TEA BLENDERS SINCE 1945

# GREEN TEA

with GINGER

plus **Probiotics**  
Supports healthy digestion

HEALTHY ANTIOXIDANTS  
ALL NATURAL

**18** TEA BAGS INDIVIDUALLY WRAPPED IN FOIL POUCHES.  
FRESHNESS STAYS IN. AIR AND MOISTURE STAY OUT.

NET WT .90 OZ (25g)

POWERED BY GANEDEN BC30 PROBIOTIC  
DIGESTIVE & IMMUNE HEALTH

## PROTECTED IN FOIL...

### 2 STEPS TO A GREAT CUP

Be sure to start with fresh cold water and bring to a rolling boil.

Pour over tea bag, steep for 2 minutes (or whatever time you like), remove bag.

For Iced Tea by the Glass: Steep a little longer. Pour over ice.

#### CAFF-O-METER† content per serving

Coffee 100-120 mg	Black tea 30-60 mg	Green tea 25-50 mg	Decaf tea 1-8 mg	Herbal tea 0 mg

† Represents average caffeine content; individual products may vary.

...BECAUSE FLAVOR MATTERS

Our family selects ingredients so carefully that they must protect them in foil to allow you to experience their full FLAVOR · FRESHNESS · AROMA

### Our Promise

We love to hear what you have to say. If you do call our Consumer Services Crew please reference the code on our box.

MANUFACTURED IN THE USA

# 100%

AMERICAN FAMILY OWNED

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

### Bigelow Matcha Green with Turmeric Tea

AMERICA'S **BIGELOW** CLASSIC  
FAMILY TEA BLENDERS SINCE 1945

# MATCHA GREEN

with TURMERIC

A unique, earthy blend of matcha, green tea and turmeric with a peppery finish

HEALTHY ANTIOXIDANTS  
ALL NATURAL

**18** TEA BAGS INDIVIDUALLY WRAPPED IN FOIL POUCHES. FRESHNESS STAYS IN. AIR AND MOISTURE STAY OUT.

NET WT .82 OZ (23g)

## PROTECTED IN FOIL...

### 2 STEPS TO A GREAT CUP

Be sure to start with fresh cold water and bring to a rolling boil.

Pour over tea bag, steep for 2 minutes (or whatever time you like), remove bag.

For Iced Tea by the Glass: Steep a little longer. Pour over ice.

### CAFF-O-METER † content per serving

Coffee 100-120 mg	Black tea 30-60 mg	Green tea 25-50 mg	Decaf tea 1-8 mg	Herbal tea 0 mg

† Represents average caffeine content; individual products may vary.

**...BECAUSE FLAVOR MATTERS**

Our family selects ingredients so carefully that they must protect them in foil to allow you to experience their full **FLAVOR · FRESHNESS · AROMA**

### Our Promise

We love to hear what you have to say. If you do call our Consumer Services Crew please reference the code on our box.

MANUFACTURED IN THE USA

# 100%

AMERICAN FAMILY OWNED

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

### Bigelow Vanilla Chai Black Tea



#### D. The Manufactured in the USA Representations Harm Consumers

35. A reasonable consumer is likely to believe that the Products are made entirely from American ingredients and materials and processed in the United States based on the Manufactured in the USA representations.

1           36. Plaintiffs and other consumers purchased the Products relying on  
2 Bigelow’s Manufactured in the USA representations.

3           37. Plaintiffs and Class members did not know, and had no reason to know,  
4 that the Products were not manufactured in the USA, because of how the Products  
5 are falsely and deceptively packaged. As set forth above, the packaging of each of  
6 the Products states: “AMERICA’S CLASSIC” and “MANUFACTURED IN THE  
7 USA 100% AMERICAN FAMILY OWNED”.

8           38. Because the Products are not manufactured in the USA, Bigelow’s  
9 branding, packaging, and advertising of the Products was and continues to be false,  
10 misleading and deceptive. As the entity responsible for the development,  
11 manufacturing, packaging, advertising, distribution and sale of the Products,  
12 Bigelow knew that the foregoing Manufactured in the USA representations are  
13 untruthful and deceptive.

14           39. Bigelow also knew or should have known that Plaintiffs and other  
15 consumers would rely on its Manufactured in the USA representations in purchasing  
16 the Products, and would therefore reasonably believe that the Products are made in  
17 the USA. Nonetheless, Bigelow deceptively advertises the Products in order to  
18 exploit strong consumer sentiment for American products.

19           40. The importance of American-made products to consumers, or  
20 materiality, is recognized as a matter of law. *See, e.g.,* Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §  
21 17533.7; *Colgan v. Leatherman Tool Group, Inc.*, 135 Cal.App.4th 663 (2006).  
22 Empirical data also shows, and can be used to show, that American consumers  
23 prefer, and are willing to pay more for, American-made products.

24           41. Bigelow also acknowledges that consumers value American-made tea.  
25 The website for the Charleston Tea Plantation – which again is owed by Bigelow –  
26 states: “American Classic Tea has maintained its faithful fans since its start in the  
27  
28



1 late 1980's and has continued to prosper as a result of consumers wanting to  
2 experience the only tea grown and produced in America."<sup>12</sup>

3 42. Plaintiffs and other consumers would have paid less for the Products, or  
4 would not have purchased them at all, had they known that the Products were and  
5 are not manufactured in the USA. Therefore, Plaintiffs and other consumers  
6 purchasing the Products have suffered injury in fact and lost money as a result of  
7 Bigelow's false and deceptive practices, as described herein.

8 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

9 43. Plaintiffs bring this class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal  
10 Rules of Civil Procedure and all other applicable laws and rules, individually and on  
11 behalf of all members of the following Class and California Consumer Subclass:

12 **Class**

13 All persons who purchased any of the Products in the State of California  
14 within the applicable statute of limitations period.

15 **California Consumer Subclass**

16 All persons who purchased any of the Products in the State of California, for  
17 personal, family, or household purposes, within the applicable statute of  
18 limitations period.

19 44. Excluded from the Classes are the following individuals and/or entities:  
20 Bigelow and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, officers and directors, current or  
21 former employees, and any entity in which Bigelow has a controlling interest; all  
22 individuals who make a timely election to be excluded from this proceeding using  
23 the correct protocol for opting out; and all judges assigned to hear any aspect of this  
24 litigation, as well as their immediate family members.

25  
26  
27

28 <sup>12</sup> <https://www.charlestonteaplantation.com/faqs> (last visited June 23, 2020).

1           45. Plaintiffs reserve the right to modify or amend the definition of the  
2 proposed Classes and/or add subclasses before the Court determines whether  
3 certification is appropriate.

4           46. Plaintiffs are members of both of the proposed Classes.

5           47. Numerosity: The proposed Classes are so numerous that joinder of all  
6 members would be impractical. The Products are sold throughout the State of  
7 California through numerous third-party retailers. The number of individuals who  
8 purchased the Products during the relevant time period is at least in the thousands.  
9 Accordingly, Class members are so numerous that their individual joinder herein is  
10 impractical. In addition, these Class members are identifiable and ascertainable.

11           48. Common Questions Predominate: There are questions of law and fact  
12 common to the proposed Classes that will drive the resolution of this action and will  
13 predominate over questions affecting only individual Class members. These  
14 questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 15           a. Whether Bigelow misrepresented material facts and/or failed to  
16           disclose material facts in connection with the packaging and  
17           advertising of the Products;
- 18           b. Whether Bigelow's use of false or deceptive packaging and  
19           advertising constituted false or deceptive advertising;
- 20           c. Whether Bigelow engaged in unfair, unlawful and/or fraudulent  
21           business practices;
- 22           d. Whether Bigelow's unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, was  
23           intentional and knowing;
- 24           e. Whether Plaintiffs and the Classes are entitled to damages and/or  
25           restitution, and in what amount;
- 26           f. Whether Bigelow is likely to continue using false, misleading or  
27           unlawful conduct such that an injunction is necessary; and  
28

1 g. Whether Plaintiffs and the Classes are entitled to an award of  
2 reasonable attorneys' fees, interest, and costs of suit.

3 49. Bigelow has engaged in a common course of conduct giving rise to  
4 violations of the legal rights sought to be enforced uniformly by Plaintiffs and Class  
5 members. Similar or identical statutory and common law violations, business  
6 practices, and injuries are involved. The injuries sustained by members of the  
7 proposed Classes flow, in each instance, from a common nucleus of operative fact,  
8 namely, Bigelow's deceptive packaging and advertising of the Products. Each  
9 instance of harm suffered by Plaintiffs and Class members has directly resulted from  
10 a single course of illegal conduct. Each Class member has been exposed to the same  
11 or substantially similar deceptive practice, as the packaging of each of the Products  
12 bears the same "AMERICA'S CLASSIC" and "MANUFACTURED IN THE USA  
13 100% AMERICAN FAMILY OWNED" representations. Therefore, individual  
14 questions, if any, pale in comparison to the numerous common questions presented  
15 in this action.

16 50. Superiority: Because of the relatively small amount of damages at issue  
17 for each individual Class member, no Class member could afford to seek legal  
18 redress on an individual basis. Furthermore, individualized litigation increases the  
19 delay and expense to all parties and multiplies the burden on the judicial system  
20 presented by the complex legal and factual issues of this case. Individualized  
21 litigation also presents a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments. A  
22 class action is superior to any alternative means of prosecution.

23 51. Typicality: The representative Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those of  
24 the proposed Classes, as all members of the proposed Classes are similarly affected  
25 by Bigelow's uniform unlawful conduct as alleged herein.

26 52. Adequacy: Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of  
27 the proposed Classes as their interests do not conflict with the interests of the  
28 members of the proposed Classes they seek to represent, and they have retained

1 counsel competent and experienced in class action litigation. The interests of the  
2 members of the Classes will be fairly and adequately protected by the Plaintiffs and  
3 their counsel.

4 53. Bigelow has also acted, or failed to act, on grounds generally applicable  
5 to Plaintiffs and the proposed Classes, supporting the imposition of uniform relief to  
6 ensure compatible standards of conduct toward the members of the Classes.

7 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
8 **Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17533.7**  
9 ***(For the Classes)***

10 54. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-53 above as  
11 if fully set forth herein.

12 55. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17533.7(a) provides:

13 “It is unlawful for any person, firm, corporation or association to sell or  
14 offer for sale in this state any merchandise on which merchandise or on  
15 its container there appears the words ‘Made in U.S.A.,’ ‘Made in  
16 America,’ ‘U.S.A.,’ or similar words if the merchandise or any article,  
17 unit, or part thereof, has been entirely or substantially made,  
18 manufactured, or produced outside of the United States.”

19 56. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the members of  
20 the proposed Classes against Bigelow.

21 57. Bigelow has violated, and continues to violate, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code  
22 § 17533.7 by selling and offering to sell the Products in the State of California with  
23 “AMERICA’S CLASSIC” and “MANUFACTURED IN THE USA 100%  
24 AMERICAN FAMILY OWNED” representations, as alleged herein. These  
25 representations are “similar” to “Made in U.S.A.,” “Made in America,” and “USA”  
26 within the meaning of this statute. As the statute itself recognizes, “made” is  
27 synonymous with “manufactured.”

28 58. There is no statement on the Products’ packaging that they are made  
solely from foreign-sourced and processed tea.

1           59. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17533.7 permits companies to make an  
2 unqualified made in the USA representation on a product that is not 100 percent  
3 American-made only in two limited circumstances, known as safe harbor provisions.  
4 Neither apply here.

5           60. *First*, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17533.7(b) provides that section  
6 17533.7 shall not apply to:

7           “[M]erchandise made, manufactured, or produced in the United States that  
8 has one or more articles, units, or parts from outside of the United States, if  
9 all of the articles, units, or parts of the merchandise obtained from outside the  
10 United States constitute *not more than 5 percent* of the final wholesale value  
of the manufactured product.”

11 Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17533.7(b) (emphasis added).

12           61. *Second*, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17533.7(c)(1) provides that section  
13 17533.7 shall not apply if:

14           “[T]he manufacturer of the merchandise shows that it can neither produce the  
15 article, unit, or part within the United States nor obtain the article, unit, or part  
16 of the merchandise from a domestic source”; *and*

17           “All of the articles, units, or parts of the merchandise obtained from outside  
18 the United States constitute *not more than 10 percent* of the final wholesale  
value of the manufactured product.”

19 Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17533.7(c)(1)(A) and (B) (emphasis added).

20           62. As alleged herein, the Products are labeled as manufactured in the USA  
21 when *none* of the tea that is used to make them is either grown or processed in the  
22 United States. As the tea used in the Products comprises well over 90% of the  
23 material used to make them, neither of the safe harbor provisions to Cal. Bus. &  
24 Prof. Code § 17533.7 apply.

25           63. The alleged violations of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17533.7 were done  
26 with awareness that the conduct was wrongful, and Bigelow committed these acts  
27  
28

1 with full knowledge that the harm alleged herein would accrue to Plaintiffs and the  
2 Classes.

3 64. As a direct and proximate result of Bigelow’s violations of Cal. Bus. &  
4 Prof. Code § 17533.7, Plaintiffs and Class members are entitled to restitution of  
5 excess monies paid to Bigelow by Plaintiffs and Class members relating to the false  
6 and deceptive Manufactured in the USA representations on the Products.

7 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
8 **Violation of California’s Consumers Legal Remedies Act (“CLRA”)**  
9 **California Civil Code § 1750, *et seq.***  
10 ***(For the California Consumer Subclass)***

11 65. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-53 above as  
12 if fully set forth herein.

13 66. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the members of  
14 the proposed California Consumer Subclass against Bigelow.

15 67. The Products are “goods” within the meaning of Cal. Civ. Code §  
16 1761(a), and the purchases of the Products by Plaintiffs and members of the  
17 California Consumer Subclass constitute “transactions” within the meaning of Cal.  
18 Civ. Code § 1761(e).

19 68. Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(2) prohibits “misrepresenting the source,  
20 sponsorship, approval, or certification of goods or services.” By marketing the  
21 Products with their current packaging, Bigelow has represented and continues to  
22 represent that the source of the tea used to make the Products is the United States,  
23 when it is not. Therefore, Bigelow has violated section 1770(a)(2) of the CLRA.

24 69. Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(4) prohibits “using deceptive representations  
25 or designations of geographical origin in connection with goods or services.” By  
26 marketing the Products with their current packaging, Bigelow has used deceptive  
27 representations and designations of the Products’ geographical origin (the United  
28 States). Therefore, Bigelow has violated section 1770(a)(4) of the CLRA.

1           70. Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(5) prohibits “[r]epresenting that goods or  
2 services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits, or  
3 quantities which they do not have. . . .” By marketing the Products with their current  
4 packaging, Bigelow has represented and continues to represent that the Products have  
5 characteristics (manufactured in the USA) that they do not have. Therefore, Bigelow  
6 has violated section 1770(a)(5) of the CLRA.

7           71. Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(7) prohibits “[r]epresenting that goods or  
8 services are of a particular standard, quality, or grade, or that goods are of a  
9 particular style or model, if they are of another.” By marketing the Products with  
10 their current packaging, Bigelow has represented and continues to represent that the  
11 Products are of a particular style (manufactured in the USA) when they are of another.  
12 Therefore, Bigelow has violated section 1770(a)(7) of the CLRA.

13           72. Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(9) prohibits “[a]dvertising goods or services  
14 with intent not to sell them as advertised.” By marketing the Products with their  
15 current packaging, such that a reasonable consumer would believe that the Products are  
16 manufactured in the USA, but not intending to sell a product manufactured in the USA,  
17 Bigelow has violated section 1770(a)(9) of the CLRA.

18           73. Bigelow has also violated the CLRA by intentionally failing to disclose  
19 that the Products are not manufactured in the USA, in order to induce consumers’  
20 purchases of the Products.

21           74. Plaintiffs and members of the California Consumer Subclass have  
22 justifiably relied on Bigelow’s misleading representations when purchasing the  
23 Products, reasonably believing that the Products were manufactured in the USA  
24 based on these representations. Moreover, based on the materiality of Bigelow’s  
25 misleading and deceptive conduct, reliance may be presumed or inferred for  
26 Plaintiffs and members of California Consumer Subclass.

27           75. Plaintiffs and members of the California Consumer Subclass have  
28 suffered and continue to suffer injuries caused by Bigelow because they would have

1 paid less for the Products, or would not have purchased them at all, had they known  
2 that the Products are not manufactured in the USA.

3 76. In accordance with Cal. Civ. Code § 1780(d), Plaintiff Banks is filing a  
4 declaration of venue, attached as Exhibit A to this Complaint.

5 77. On July 13, 2020, Plaintiffs, by and through their counsel, sent a notice  
6 and demand letter by certified mail to Bigelow of their intent to pursue claims under  
7 the CLRA, and an opportunity to cure, consistent with Cal. Civ. Code § 1782.  
8 Bigelow received this notice and demand letter on July 20, 2020.

9 78. Because Bigelow has failed to fully rectify or remedy the damages  
10 caused after waiting more than the statutorily required 30 days after Bigelow  
11 received the foregoing notice and demand letter, Plaintiffs are timely filing this First  
12 Amended Complaint for damages as permitted under Cal. Civ. Code § 1782(d).

13 79. Plaintiffs also request that this Court enjoin Bigelow from continuing to  
14 violate the CLRA as discussed herein and/or from violating the CLRA in the future  
15 and to order restitution to Plaintiffs and the California Consumer Subclass. Plaintiffs  
16 also request an award of actual and punitive damages, attorneys’ fees and costs, and  
17 any other relief that the Court deems proper, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1780(a).

18 **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
19 **Violation of California’s False Advertising Law (“FAL”),**  
20 **California Business & Professions Code § 17500, *et seq.***  
21 ***(For the Classes)***

22 80. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-53 above as  
23 if fully set forth herein.

24 81. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the members of  
25 the proposed Classes against Bigelow.

26 82. California’s FAL makes it “unlawful for any person to make or  
27 disseminate or cause to be made or disseminated before the public . . . in any  
28 advertising device . . . or in any other manner or means whatever, including over the  
Internet, any statement, concerning . . . personal property or services professional or



1 otherwise, or performance or disposition thereof, which is untrue or misleading and  
2 which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be  
3 untrue or misleading.” Cal. Bus. & Prof Code § 17500.

4 83. Bigelow has represented and continue to represent to the public,  
5 including Plaintiffs and members of the Classes, through its deceptive packaging,  
6 that the Products are manufactured in the USA. Bigelow’s representations are  
7 misleading because the Products are not manufactured in in the USA. Because  
8 Bigelow has disseminated misleading information regarding the Products, and  
9 Bigelow knows, knew, or should have known through the exercise of reasonable  
10 care that the representations were and continue to be misleading, Bigelow has  
11 violated the FAL.

12 84. Bigelow’s conduct is also misleading because Bigelow fails to disclose  
13 that the Products are not manufactured in the USA in order to induce consumers’  
14 purchases of the Products.

15 85. As a result of Bigelow’s false advertising, Bigelow has and continues  
16 to fraudulently obtain money from Plaintiffs and members of both Classes.

17 86. Plaintiffs request that this Court cause Bigelow to restore this  
18 fraudulently obtained money to them and members of both Classes, to disgorge the  
19 profits Bigelow made on these transactions, and to enjoin Bigelow from violating  
20 the FAL or violating it in the same fashion in the future as discussed herein.  
21 Otherwise, Plaintiffs and members of both Classes may be irreparably harmed  
22 and/or denied an effective and complete remedy if such an order is not granted.

23 **FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
24 **Violation of California’s Unfair Competition Law (“UCL”),**  
25 **California Business & Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.***  
***(For the Classes)***

26 87. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-53 above as  
27 if fully set forth herein.

28

1           88. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the members of  
2 the proposed Classes against Bigelow.

3           89. Cal. Bus. & Prof Code § 17200 provides, in pertinent part, that “unfair  
4 competition shall mean and include unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business practices  
5 and unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising . . . .”

6           90. Under the UCL, a business act or practice is “unlawful” if it violates  
7 any established state or federal law.

8           91. Bigelow’s false and misleading advertising of the Products therefore  
9 was and continues to be “unlawful” because it violates California’s Made in the  
10 USA Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17533.7), the CLRA (Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, *et*  
11 *seq.*), the FAL (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500, *et seq.*), and other applicable laws  
12 as alleged herein.

13           92. As a result of Bigelow’s unlawful business acts and practices, Bigelow  
14 has unlawfully obtained money from Plaintiffs, and members of the proposed  
15 Classes.

16           93. Under the UCL, a business act or practice is “unfair” if the Bigelow’s  
17 conduct is substantially injurious to consumers, offends public policy, and is  
18 immoral, unethical, oppressive, and unscrupulous, as the benefits for committing  
19 such acts or practices are outweighed by the gravity of the harm to the alleged  
20 victims.

21           94. Bigelow’s conduct was and continues to be of no benefit to purchasers  
22 of the Products, as it is misleading, unfair, unlawful, and is injurious to consumers  
23 who rely on the packaging. Creating consumer confusion as to the actual location of  
24 where the Products are manufactured is of no benefit to consumers. Therefore,  
25 Bigelow’s conduct was and continues to be “unfair.”

26           95. As a result of Bigelow’s unfair business acts and practices, Bigelow has  
27 and continues to unfairly obtain money from Plaintiffs, and members of both  
28 Classes.

1 96. Under the UCL, a business act or practice is “fraudulent” if it actually  
2 deceives or is likely to deceive members of the consuming public.

3 97. Bigelow’s conduct here was and continues to be fraudulent because it  
4 has the effect of deceiving consumers into believing that the Products are  
5 manufactured in the USA, when they are not. Bigelow’s conduct is also fraudulent  
6 because Bigelow fails to disclose that the Products are not manufactured in the USA  
7 in order to induce consumers’ purchases of the Products. Because Bigelow misled  
8 Plaintiffs and members of both Classes, Bigelow’s conduct was “fraudulent.”

9 98. As a result of Bigelow’s fraudulent business acts and practices,  
10 Bigelow has and continues to fraudulently obtain money from Plaintiffs and Class  
11 members.

12 99. Plaintiffs request that this Court cause Bigelow to restore this  
13 unlawfully, unfairly, and fraudulently obtained money to them, and members of  
14 both Classes, to disgorge the profits Bigelow made on these transactions, and to  
15 enjoin Bigelow from violating the UCL or violating it in the same fashion in the  
16 future as discussed herein. Otherwise, Plaintiffs, and members of both Classes may  
17 be irreparably harmed and/or denied an effective and complete remedy if such an  
18 order is not granted.

19 **FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
20 **Breach of Express Warranty**  
21 **California Commercial Code § 2313**  
*(For the Classes)*

22 100. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-53 above as  
23 if fully set forth herein.

24 101. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the members of  
25 the proposed Classes against Bigelow.

26 102. California Commercial Code § 2313 provides that “(a) Any affirmation  
27 of fact or promise made by the seller to the buyer which relates to the goods and  
28 becomes part of the basis of the bargain creates an express warranty that the goods

1 shall conform to the affirmation or promise,” and “(b) Any description of the goods  
2 which is made part of the basis of the bargain creates an express warranty that the  
3 goods shall conform to the description.” Cal. Com. Code § 2313.

4 103. Bigelow has expressly warranted on the packaging of the Products that  
5 they are manufactured in the USA by stating on the Products’ packaging:  
6 “AMERICA’S CLASSIC” and “MANUFACTURED IN THE USA 100%  
7 AMERICAN FAMILY OWNED”.

8 104. These representations: (1) are an affirmation of fact or promise made  
9 by Bigelow to consumers that the Products are manufactured in the USA; (2)  
10 became part of the basis of the bargain to purchase the Products when Plaintiffs and  
11 Class members relied on the representation; and (3) created an express warranty that  
12 the Products would conform to that affirmation of fact or promises. In the  
13 alternative, the representation about the Products is a description of goods which  
14 was made as part of the basis of the bargain to purchase the Products, and which  
15 created an express warranty that the Products would conform to the product  
16 description.

17 105. Plaintiffs and members of both Classes reasonably and justifiably relied  
18 on the foregoing express warranty, believing that the Products did in fact conform to  
19 that warranty.

20 106. Bigelow has breached the express warranty made to Plaintiffs and  
21 members of both Classes by failing to manufacture the Products in the USA.

22 107. Plaintiffs and members of both Classes paid a premium price for the  
23 Products but did not obtain the full value of the Products as represented. If Plaintiffs  
24 and members of both Classes had known of the true nature of the Products, they  
25 would not have been willing to pay the premium price associated with the Products.

26 108. As a result, Plaintiffs and members of both Classes suffered injury and  
27 deserve to recover all damages afforded under the law.

28 109. Within a reasonable amount of time after Plaintiffs discovered that

1 Bigelow did in fact breach the express warranty, Plaintiffs notified Bigelow of the  
2 breach.

3  
4 **SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
5 **Breach of Implied Warranty**  
6 **California Commercial Code § 2314**  
7 ***(For the Classes)***

8 110. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-53 above as  
9 if fully set forth herein.

10 111. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the members of  
11 the proposed Classes against Bigelow.

12 112. California Commercial Code § 2314(1) provides that “a warranty that  
13 the goods shall be merchantable is implied in a contract for their sale if the seller is a  
14 merchant with respect to goods of that kind.” Cal. Com. Code § 2314(1).

15 113. California Commercial Code § 2314(2) provides that “[g]oods to be  
16 merchantable must be at least such as . . . (f) conform to the promises or  
17 affirmations of fact made on the container or label if any.” Cal. Com. Code §  
18 2314(2)(f).

19 114. Bigelow is a merchant with respect to the sale of the Products.  
20 Therefore, a warranty of merchantability is implied in every contract for sale of the  
21 Products to Plaintiffs and Class members.

22 115. By advertising the Products with their current packaging, Bigelow  
23 made an implied promise that the Products were manufactured in the USA. By not  
24 making the Products in the USA, the Products have not “conformed to the  
25 promises...made on the container or label.” Plaintiffs and California consumers did  
26 not receive the goods as impliedly warranted by Bigelow to be merchantable.

27 116. Therefore, the Products are not merchantable under California law and  
28 Bigelow has breached its implied warranty of merchantability with regard to the  
Products.

117. If Plaintiffs and members of both the Classes had known that the

1 Products were not manufactured in the USA, they would not have been willing to  
2 pay the premium price associated with them. Therefore, as a direct and/or indirect  
3 result of Bigelow’s breach, Plaintiffs and members of both Classes have suffered  
4 injury and deserve to recover all damages afforded under the law.

5 **SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
6 **Intentional Misrepresentation**  
7 ***(For the Classes)***

8 118. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-53 above as  
9 if fully set forth herein.

10 119. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the members of  
11 the proposed Classes against Bigelow.

12 120. Bigelow marketed the Products in a manner indicating that they are  
13 manufactured in the USA. However, the Products are not manufactured in the USA.  
14 Therefore, Bigelow has made misrepresentations as to the Products.

15 121. Bigelow’s misrepresentations regarding the Products are material to a  
16 reasonable consumer because they relate to the characteristics and source of the  
17 Products. A reasonable consumer would attach importance to such representations  
18 and would be induced to act thereon in making purchase decisions.

19 122. At all relevant times when such misrepresentations were made,  
20 Bigelow knew that the representations were misleading, or have acted recklessly in  
21 making the representations, without regard to the truth.

22 123. Bigelow intends that Plaintiffs and other consumers rely on these  
23 representations, as evidenced by Bigelow intentionally using packaging that either  
24 directly states or clearly implies that the Products are manufactured in the USA.

25 124. Plaintiffs and members of the Classes have reasonably and justifiably  
26 relied on Bigelow’s intentional misrepresentations when purchasing the Products,  
27 and had the correct facts been known, would not have purchased them at the prices  
28 at which they were offered.

125. Therefore, as a direct and proximate result of Bigelow’s intentional

1 misrepresentations, Plaintiffs and members of the Classes have suffered economic  
2 losses and other general and specific damages, including but not limited to the  
3 amounts paid for the Products, and any interest that would have accrued on those  
4 monies, all in an amount to be proven at trial.

5 **EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
6 **Negligent Misrepresentation**  
7 ***(For the Classes)***

8 126. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-53 above as  
9 if fully set forth herein.

10 127. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the members of  
11 the proposed Classes against Bigelow.

12 128. Bigelow marketed the Products in a manner indicating that they are  
13 manufactured in the USA. However, the Products are not manufactured in the USA.  
14 Therefore, Bigelow has made misrepresentations as to the Products.

15 129. Bigelow's misrepresentations regarding the Products are material to a  
16 reasonable consumer because they relate to the characteristics and source of the  
17 Products. A reasonable consumer would attach importance to such representations  
18 and would be induced to act thereon in making purchase decisions.

19 130. At all relevant times when such misrepresentations were made,  
20 Bigelow knew or had been negligent in not knowing that that the Products were not  
21 manufactured in the USA. Bigelow had no reasonable grounds for believing its  
22 misrepresentations were not false and misleading.

23 131. Bigelow intends that Plaintiffs and other consumers rely on these  
24 representations, as evidenced by Bigelow intentionally using packaging that either  
25 directly states or clearly implies that the Products are manufactured in the USA.

26 132. Plaintiffs and members of the Classes have reasonably and justifiably  
27 relied on Bigelow's negligent misrepresentations when purchasing the Products, and  
28 had the correct facts been known, would not have purchased them at the prices at  
which they were offered.

1 133. Therefore, as a direct and proximate result of Bigelow’s negligent  
2 misrepresentations, Plaintiffs and members of the Classes have suffered economic  
3 losses and other general and specific damages, including but not limited to the  
4 amounts paid for the Products, and any interest that would have accrued on those  
5 monies, all in an amount to be proven at trial.

6 **NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
7 **Quasi Contract/Unjust Enrichment/Restitution**  
8 ***(For the Classes)***

9 134. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-53 above as  
10 if fully set forth herein.

11 135. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the members of  
12 the proposed Classes against Bigelow.

13 136. As alleged herein, Bigelow has intentionally and recklessly made  
14 misleading representations to Plaintiffs and members of the Classes to induce them  
15 to purchase the Products. Plaintiffs and members of the Classes have reasonably  
16 relied on the misleading representations and have not received all of the benefits  
17 promised by Bigelow. Plaintiffs and members of the Classes therefore have been  
18 induced by Bigelow’s misleading and deceptive representations about the Products,  
19 and paid more money to Bigelow for the Products than they otherwise would and/or  
20 should have paid.

21 137. Plaintiffs and members of the Classes have conferred a benefit upon  
22 Bigelow as Bigelow has retained monies paid to them by Plaintiffs and members of  
23 the Classes.

24 138. The monies received were obtained under circumstances that were at  
25 the expense of Plaintiffs and members of the Classes – i.e., Plaintiffs and members  
26 of the Classes did not receive the full value of the benefit conferred upon Bigelow.

27 139. Therefore, it is inequitable and unjust for Bigelow to retain the profit,  
28 benefit, or compensation conferred upon them without paying Plaintiffs and the



1 members of the Classes back for the difference of the full value of the benefits  
2 compared to the value actually received.

3 140. As a direct and proximate result of Bigelow's unjust enrichment,  
4 Plaintiffs and members of the Classes are entitled to restitution, disgorgement,  
5 and/or the imposition of a constructive trust upon all profits, benefits, and other  
6 compensation obtained by Bigelow from its deceptive, misleading, and unlawful  
7 conduct as alleged herein.

8 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

9 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of the Classes,  
10 respectfully pray for the following relief:

11 A. Certification of this case as a class action on behalf of the Classes  
12 defined above, appointment of Plaintiffs as Class representatives, and appointment  
13 of their counsel as Class counsel;

14 B. A declaration that Bigelow's actions, as described herein, violate the  
15 claims alleged herein;

16 C. An award of injunctive and other equitable relief as is necessary to  
17 protect the interests of Plaintiffs and the Classes, including, *inter alia*, an order  
18 prohibiting Bigelow from engaging in the unlawful act described above;

19 D. An award to Plaintiffs and the proposed Classes of restitution and/or  
20 other equitable relief, including, without limitation, restitutionary disgorgement of  
21 all profits and unjust enrichment that Bigelow obtained from Plaintiffs and the  
22 proposed Classes as a result of its unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business practices  
23 described herein;

24 E. An award of all economic, monetary, actual, consequential, and  
25 compensatory damages caused by Bigelow's conduct;

26 F. An award of punitive damages;

27 G. An award to Plaintiffs and their counsel of their reasonable expenses  
28 and attorneys' fees;

1 H. An award to Plaintiffs and the proposed Classes of pre- and post-  
2 judgment interest, to the extent allowable; and

3 I. For such further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

4 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

5 Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the Class, hereby demand a jury trial  
6 with respect to all issues triable of right by jury.

7

8 DATED: August 20, 2020 **THE WAND LAW FIRM, P.C.**

9

10 By: /s/ Aubry Wand  
Aubry Wand

11

12 **SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL**  
13 **KONECKY LLP**

14

15 Todd M. Schneider  
16 Jason H. Kim

17

18 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Putative Class*

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**EXHIBIT A**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**DECLARATION OF KIMBERLY BANKS**

I, Kimberly Banks, hereby declare:


1. I am a Plaintiff in the above-entitled action. I am a competent adult over eighteen years of age and I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.

2. I currently reside in the City and County of Los Angeles.

3. I purchased a box of Bigelow Earl Grey Black Tea in the County of Los Angeles.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 7/3/20 at Culver City, California.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kimberly Banks